



# Shelby County Tennessee

Mark H. Luttrell, Jr., Mayor

## RFP # 12-004-56 QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

1. (Section VII.a)- Regarding the concurrent study of the corridor being conducted by The Memphis Metropolitan Planning Organization; is there a specific firm assigned as the consultant/contractor on this project? If so, can you disclose the name of the firm?

Memphis MPO will be conducting this study in-house, using planners that are already on staff with the organization.

2. Has previous work specific to this project been undertaken in the last 3-5 years? If so, will this information be available to the chosen firm?

There have been a couple of transportation studies performed over the past few years and this information will definitely be available to the selected consultant. In fact, we would encourage the consultant to use such data. Especially the recently completed Long Range Transportation Plan, which will provide much of the data necessary for the environmental analysis.

3. Are there other active or recent economic development studies that have focused on the Development Region?

There may be some studies performed that have a tangential relationship, but none that have focused specifically on this Corridor.

4. Is there a list of consultants who have been contacted or have expressed interest in this project? If so, could you send us a copy of this list?

See Vendor List.

5. Has a past consultant been asked to pursue this opportunity as well?

Our Office has never contracted with any of the Vendors on the list in the past.

6. Has a budget been established for this project? Can this budget be disclosed? [We donot discuss or divulge our budget.](#)

7. Thank you for your timely response. Would you be able to point me to the Vendors List? I have sifted through the webpage several times and cannot put my finger on it. Thanks for your help.

We do not give out copies of our vendor list they are kept confidential.

8. With regard to the I-69/269 Corridor study, I wanted to confirm that this submittal will include a cost proposal as provided in item C. 3. On page 21.

This cost proposal is also included in the Evaluation process on page 23, Item A. 2. B. vi.

Yes, we do want the consultant to provide a cost proposal with their response.

Note: Please see Posted Addendum dated May 7, 2012.

9. What is the expected length of the I-269 corridor where it crosses Shelby County?

The total length of the I-269 corridor inside Tennessee is 36.2 miles. Down at the southeast corner of the corridor there are spots where the line is pretty much on the Shelby/Fayette county line, but as best I can tell, the portion inside Shelby County is 30 miles.

10. In reviewing the insurance requirements of your RFP#12-004-56 for I-69/I-269 Corridor Economic Development and Environmental Study, I have found that BBPLLC meets and exceeds most of the requirements with the exception of the following

Workman's Comp: you request \$1M, we have \$500K

Professional Liability: you request \$3M aggregate, we have \$1M

We have not had a problem prior, our limits have satisfied requirements on other jobs that were much larger than this one, so we feel our limits are satisfactory. As for workman's comp, we don't have any people working on any job sites, so this really should not apply to us.

However, if these limits must be upheld, we are willing to do so after award and prior to contract. Please advise.

We do not feel we should adjust our insurance limits. The successful contractor only, will have to provide a certificate of insurance meeting the insurance requirements of the RFP.

11. The title of the RFP includes I-69 whereas on the first page of the RFP it mentions only I-269 Corridor. Is this just the SR-385 section in the County and not any portion of the I-69 that is intended to go through downtown Memphis?

Although a Scope of Work is given in the RFP, depending upon the depth of study and the degree of detail for each item involved the fee ranges could be very diverse from each respondent. Is there a target budget that the County has in mind in order to focus in on a tighter scope so that all respondents are responding on the same magnitude of work?

After thinking about question number two below, I do believe that he has a point. Therefore, I would like to issue an addendum that asks the vendors to submit their qualifications for this project without submitting a cost proposal. This will allow us to analyze the qualifications of the respondents and select the most qualified firm, so that we could negotiate the cost after the team is selected.

We could extend the submission date for another week to accommodate this change.

In response to #1, I-269 is the corridor for which the study is targeted, which does connect with SR385. The portion of I-69 going through downtown is not included in this study.

Please see posted addendum dated May 7, 2012 on our web site.

12. What are the boundaries of the study as measured from either side of the corridor? **(Section VII, IX)**

See the attached Map illustrating the I-269 corridor.

What kind of long term land use management strategies are contemplated for the corridor and how will the outcome of this study be used in that regard? **(Section VII)**

This study will not be an extensive land use study, but rather a study that identifies potential large scale economic development sites and environmentally sensitive areas of the corridor. It is anticipated that when the study is complete, local governments and chambers of commerce will use the study to attract businesses to those targeted areas and develop policies to protect the environmentally sensitive areas.

To what degree should future land uses be designated for the corridor in this study? **(Section IX)**

Similar to the previous question, there won't be an extensive future land use study conducted under this project, but the final document should indicate some areas along the corridor most suited for large scale economic development projects and areas in which development should be limited to protect the environment.

There is a 25% set aside for this project for LSOBs. Is there a list of consultants/vendors for the County who meet these requirements? **(Section II). Please call Doris Vester @ 901-222-1100. She can forward you a copy of their LOSB listing.**

Do sub-consultants need to have 3 references as well as the lead consultant? **(section C. Proposal Format)**

Just the lead consultant will suffice, but references for subs are welcome if they are available.

