

**ADDENDUM NO. 6**

**Project:** Shelby County Department of Corrections  
Walkway Canopy  
SCG Project Requisition # R042009  
**Location:** 1045 Mullins Station Rd, Memphis, TN 38134  
**Owner:** Shelby County Government  
**Architect:** John Pruett Architects  
  
**Date:** June 19, 2015

This Addendum No. 6 hereby modifies the Project Manual (dated April 21, 2015) and the Project Drawings (dated April 28, 2015) and forms a part of the Contract Documents for the Walkway Canopy Project as if bound therein. All Bidders shall acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 5 on the Bid Form.

**Item 1 ON THE BID FORM**

A. ADD an acknowledgment in writing that you have received the information included in this addendum by writing “Received Addendum Number 6, (date received)” on the bid form.

*All information and revisions from Addenda #1-#4 are assimilated into Addendum #5. There is no need to mention the receipt of Addenda #1-#4 on the bid form.*

**Item 2 Questions / Answers**

**Question 1:**

We refer you to Note # 9 on revised sheet A1 which says “Contractor is responsible for verifying site utilities....”. As discussed at pre-bid meeting on June 11, 2015, this responsibility will now be assumed by others. Right?

**Answer 1:**

The Contractor is responsible for verifying site utilities and is responsible for hiring a soils engineer to check and verify soil capacities during the work. The County has agreed to reimburse the Contractor for the cost of this.

**Question 2:**

We again refer you to Note # 9 on revised Sheet A1 which says: “...and is responsible for hiring a soils engineer to check and verify bearing capacity of soil...”; We have checked with GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC., (soils engineers) who performed the soil investigation for this project. They told us to ask the designer how they were to do this in a 24” round hole x 13’ deep?

**Answer 2:**

The Contractor is responsible for hiring a soils engineer to perform one load test in accordance with FHWA Publication FHWA-SA-94-035 or per ASTM D1143 to verify the capacity of the shafts. As this will be a production shaft, it is recommended that the load test be run to 2 times the design load and not run to failure. It is recommended that the load test be performed where conditions are at worst case scenario per the soils report included in the specifications.

**Question 3:**

We again refer you to revised sheet A1 which shows a large area of pavement to be removed and replaced with new concrete adjusted/sloped for positive drainage.

- a) Sloped to drain to what/where?
- b) Is there an existing drainage problem now? If so, where, how severe, how much fill needed?
- c) Thickness of existing concrete to be removed?

d) For the new concrete in this area, we will need specified: mix design, type of finish, reinforcement, thickness of new, plan showing placement and types of expansion & control joints.

**Answer 3:**

- a) Slope towards the open end of the area.
- b) There is no known drainage problem.
- c) Assume 6" thickness of concrete to be removed.
- d) Mix design, reinforcement, thickness, etc. of new concrete can be found within the spec Section 03 30 00 Cast-In-Place Concrete. Joint locations are indicated on the architectural plans to be field verified with maximum distances between expansion joints at 20' and maximum distances between control joints at 10'. Type of finish shall match the adjacent existing concrete sidewalk finish.

**Item 3 Consulting Soils Engineer Recommendation**

Regarding subsurface utilities, air excavation would be a useful tool to expose any potential utilities within the upper 4 feet of the ground surface.

**END OF ADDENDUM 6**