

Addendum No. 3

Issued: February 1, 2016

SBI000362 CMAQ #7

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM - SIGNAL SYSTEM #7

TO ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS:

The above described SBI modified to reflect the following change:



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM SIGNAL SYSTEM SET #7 (TDOT PIN 115241.13)

I acknowledge that I am in receipt of the following addendum(s) for the above referenced project.

Congestion Management Program - Signal System Set #7	
XVI	
Addendum #1, Dated January 13, 2016	
Addendum #2, Dated January 26, 2016	
Addendum #3, Dated February 1, 2016 ICULTURE	
Z Z	
NAME and TITLE	DATE

February 2, 2016

TO ALL PLAN HOLDERS:

RE: Addendum No. 3 to Sealed Bid No. I-000362

Congestion Management Program – Signal System Set #7

PIN 115241.13

Please make the attached documents part of your proposal:

<u>General:</u> Railroad crossing permits have been obtained for both crossings required for this project. Copies of each of the permits required for this project have been included as a part of this addendum.

Question 1: At locations showing replacing the existing pushbuttons with the audible pushbuttons, it shows running new 5 conductor cable to some of the poles via new conduit being installed. At other locations, it shows replacing the existing which would be using the existing wiring. The audible pushbutton usually requires it's own dedicated pair of wires per button where the standard pushbutton can use one of the wires as a common wire.

Answer: Where audible pushbuttons are being installed either on new poles or where there was no pushbutton previously, the plans call out for new wiring and conduit. Where the audible push buttons are being installed where there are existing pushbuttons, it is assumed the existing wiring can be re-used. This will need to be verified during the construction process. If the existing wiring cannot be re-used, then new wiring will need to be run to that location at that time and it will be paid at the unit price for 5 conductor wire.

Question 2: Notes 12 and 6 on page 3 call for including concrete removal and replacement for installing FO boxes. Due to problems this has created, previous projects have had concrete removal covered by sidewalk and sod items. At the pre bid, this was asked, but Addendum 2 Question 3 addresses this, but the Addendum 2 Bid Form note 12 does not reflect the change.

Answer: The quantity for concrete on the original bid plans included concrete for use around the proposed pull boxes. However, the footnotes on the pull boxes in the original bid documents stated that concrete was to be included in the item. The footnotes were revised as a part of Addendum #2 to remove the installation of concrete from the pull box item. Addendum #2 correctly addressed this issue and no additional plan or footnote revisions are necessary.

Question 3: What are the specifications for the pull box lids? Also is there an equivalent for cast iron regarding the lids?

Answer: Pull box specifications are found in the City of Memphis Standard Construction Specifications Section 02890.J Pull Boxes. Concrete or composite lids are allowed as a substitute but must be structurally rated equivalent to what is required in the specs. Concrete or composite lids with a Tier 22 rating are typically an acceptable substitute for the cast iron lids THIS FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND INCLUDED IN YOUR PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO SIGN AND INCLUDE THIS FORM WILL RESULT IN YOUR PROPOSAL BEING CONSIDERED INVALID.



If you have any questions, please contact me via email at tosha.davenport@shelbycountytn.gov

Sincerely,

Signed Original on File

Tosha Davenport, Procurement Specialist
Purchasing Department
Shelby County Government

